10—15. HEBREWS.   
   
   
 AUTHORIZED VERSION. AUTHORIZED VERSION REVISED.   
 ufter the order of Aaron?) should be said to be not after the   
 ™ Kor the priesthood being | order of Aaron ? 2 For if the   
 changed, there is made of.   
 necessity a change also of priesthood is changed, there is made   
 thelaw. ™ Forheof whom of reces ‘ity a change of the law   
 these things are spoken per- also, Bor he of whom these   
 taineth to another tribe, of   
 which no man gave attend-| things are spoken pertaincth to a   
 ance at. the altar. For different tribe, of which no man   
 it is evident that our Lord bath ever given attendance at the   
 sprang out of Juda; 1M Por it is evident that   
 which tribe Moses spake| altar. Lord hath arisen ont of Judah ; nts,   
 nothing concerning priest- of which tribe Moses spake nothing   
 hood, ¥8 Aad it is yet far concerning + priests. S   
 moreevident for that after yet far more abundantly evident : Hom. ie   
 the similitude of Melchise- 15 And it. is +svaiivwr   
 dec there ariseth another tld MSS.   
 seeing that after the similitude of   
 Melchisedee there ariseth a different   
   
 arise after the order of Melchisedec, and that our Lord (this is the only place in   
 that he (the priest that should arise) said Scripture where Christ is called by this   
 to be not after the order of Aaron (on appellation, now so fimiliar to us, without   
 the construction, sce my Greck Test.) ? the addition of either His personal or offi   
 12.] For if the priesthood is changed cial name. 2 Pet. iii.15, loug-suffering   
 (better thus thin A. V., “ the priesthood of our Lord,” is hardly an exception: see   
 being changed”), there takes place of ne- there) hath arisen (some have thought   
 cessity a change of the law also (viz. of that this word, which, as an intransitive   
 that law, which as above, is legislated verb, is generally used of the heavenly   
 the ground of that priesthood: not of the bodies, has reference to our Lord’s rising   
 law of the priesthood only, nor of the cere- asa Sun of Rightconsness: so Mal. iv. 2   
 monial law only. The connexion is with Isa. Ix. 1; Num. xxiv. 17, to which Theo-   
 the parenthesis in ver. 11, which was in- phylact thinks there is allusion And   
 serted to prepare the way for our verse. it is quite legitimate, and a very beautiful   
 ‘The Writer as yet expresses himself mildly thought, to regard these sublime ideas as   
 and cautiously: the change here in fact having been in the Writer’s mind, while at   
 amounts to the disanaulling in ver. 18, the saine time we confess, that the word is   
 Dnt is not yet so expressed). used of the springing or rising up of other   
 13.] Confirmation of the position that a things, e. g. of water: and especially of   
 change is made in the law, by another the sprouting of plants) out of Judah (this   
 fact indicative of a change in the priest- word may be the name, cither of the tribe   
 hood. For he with reference to whom or of the patriarch. From Gen. xlix. 9, 10,   
 these things (viz. the promise in Ps. cx. it would appear to be the personal uame:   
 not, these which Iam now saying) are said but preceded and followed as it is here by   
 is member of (pertaineth to, literally, “a different tribe,” and “of which tribe,”   
 taken part in: the perfect implying the it would rather seem to be that of the   
 enduring of hislmmanity) a different tribe tribe); of (with reference to) which tribe   
 (from that of Levi, which has been already Moses said nothing concerning priests   
 sufficiently indicated in the preceding con- (i. e. nothing to imply that any priests   
 text), of (sprung from which, coming should be or be consecrated out of it : re-   
 from which) no one hath (ever, to this day) serving that entirely for the tribe of Levi).   
 given attention (applicd himself, sce ch. ii. 15—17.} Another proof that the law is   
 1, note) to the altar (j,e. as a and changed (set aside) : our Lord could not   
 normal practice, hath had any thing to do be of the (i.c., Levitical priesthood),   
 with the service of the priestliood). seeing he is an etcrnal Priest. And it (   
 14.) Proof of ver. 13. For it is plain to the change of the law; the proposition o   
 all (the word is used of that which lies 12) is yet more abundantly manifest:   
 Lefore men’s cyes, plain and undoubted) . seeing that) to the simili-